

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	_
09/540,011	03/31/2000		Peter J. Kight	3350-31F	2558	
7:	590	07/29/2002				
Alfred A Stad			EXAMINER			
1146 Nineteent Fifth Floor			GARG, YOGESH C			
Washington, Do	C 20009			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3625		
			DATE MAILED: 07/29/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	09/540,011 KIGHT ET AL.							
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Yogesh C Garg	3625						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONED	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 M	farch 2000 .							
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>36-50</u> is/are pending in the application	n.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	•							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>36-50</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exar	niner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)						
S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Act	tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 6						

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Art Unit: 3625

DETAILED ACTION

1. Preliminary amendments A, paper number 4, and B, paper number 5 received on 03/31/2000 are entered. Claims 1-35 have been cancelled. Currently claims are 36-50 are pending for examination.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference characters "42" and "47" have both been used to designate Merchant Master File. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 37-38, and 47-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. With regards to claims 37 and 47, the limitation, "searching a master merchant database containing merchants received from other consumers to determine if a merchant on the list received from the consumer is included in the master merchant database "is not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The disclosure teaches searching the consumer's MMF only (Specification, Page 7, lines 7-9,

Art Unit: 3625

"...Through athe consumer may access his merchant list....", and lines 17-20, "... By telephone......if a particular merchant (selected from the consumer's MMF, which may be updated from time to time).......") at the time of receiving a request for bill payment. Since claims 38 and 49 are dependencies of claims 37 and 48 respectively, they are also rejected. Note: In view of this 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph rejection the limitation of searching master merchant database, at the time of receiving a request for bill payment from the consumer, will be restricted to searching the consumer's MMF file only for further art rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 36-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lawlor et al. (US Patent 5,220,501) further in view of Huber (US Patent 4,791,561).

With regards to method claims 36-40, Lawlor teaches receiving, via a network, a request to pay a bill associated with a merchant on behalf of the consumer, a list of merchants, searching a master database of merchants, also containing list of merchants received from other customers, to determine if the merchant is included in the master database of merchants and processing the request to generate an instruction to pay the bill (col.18, line 18-col.23, line 8, "Central computer 52 also electronically communicates with additional remote data processing systemsACH 72.....dialup telephone lines...The link with wholesales and

Art Unit: 3625

remittance processors 78 permits the payment of bills...pays payees.....Central computer 52 is programmed...to perform various bill paying......and other services to remote terminals 54 on demand....Conventional banking software packages....perform a variety.....functions ...Conventional database handling functionsThe banking module 80H is conventional and permits customers to pay billsThe module 80H maintains customer database files, vendor correspond to consumers, payees correspond to merchants, and module 80H includes merchant databases. In col.32, line 67- col.34, line 45, ".. The main menu of services(bill paying)When bill payment is selected from the main menuterminal 54 displays a unique list of payeesAfter selecting one payee.....", list of payees correspond to merchant database and selection of a payee denotes to determine if the merchant is included in the merchant database. Also see col.41, line 61-col.49, line 40, "....If the user selects the "pay bill" option.....a flow chart of which is shown in FIG.13.....routine 392 performs the function of processing, reviewing and correct billing information...Referring now more particularly to FIGS. 14A-14C, the "bill pay" routine 506 processes bill paymentsdisplay a list of payees......Such user-specific information is stored by central computer 52storage device 84 and is accessed by FIG.14 A block 516 to display a list of payees...... may constitute a listing of categories of payees rather than individual payees ...If the user does not select one of the displayed payees...attempts to display the "next" or previous" 4 -payee *sublist.....*").

Lawlor further discloses receiving a plurality of payment records, each of the plurality of payment records including a merchant to whom payment has been made (col.10, line 66-col.11, line 21, and col.42, line 68-col.43, line 24 teach receiving plurality of payment information and records from the consumer, including a merchant to whom the payment has been made.

Art Unit: 3625

Further, col.43, lines 48-68 also disclose receiving a payment records from an output file- "In the preferred embodiment, decision block 526 scans through the output file to determine whether the user has already requested a transaction.......to the same payee (block 528)").

Lawlor does not disclose adding a merchant to the master merchant database if the merchant is not included in the master merchant database, at the time of the search. This limitation relates to the functioning of database. Huber teaches the operation of data processing systems, management and upgrading of relational databases while under interactive use (col.1, lines 13-17). Huber further discloses adding a record (pertaining to adding a merchant), if it is not there (col.1, lines 25-37, col.2, lines 64-68, col.3, lines 22-29, col.3, lines 46-62, col.4, 9-19, col.7, line 57-col.8, line 6, col.10, lines 6-25, and col.11, lines 40-54). In view of Huber, it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Huber's concept of adding a record (merchant) if it is not found in the database. Doing so would help in updating the consumer's merchant database by adding new merchants and further allowing the system to continue to provide services of bill payment to the consumers for their new merchants/payees and to accommodate changes in the names of merchants/payees.

6. With regards to system claims 41-45, and article of manufacture claims, 46-50, their limitations correspond to the limitations of method claims 36-40 and they are analyzed and rejected similarly, as above.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3625

(i) US Patent 4,948,174 to Thomson et al., US Patent 4,948,174 to Josephson and US

Page 6

Patent 5,093,787 to Simmons disclose bill payment and on-line electronic funds transfer.

Thomson also discloses database design to contain details about customer's accounts, such

that the information can be retrieved, new customers can be added and old customers can be

deleted (col.8, line 64-col.9, line 10).

(ii) Blattberg, Robert C.; Deighton, John, "Interactive Marketing: Exploiting the Age of

Addressability", Sloan Management Review, vol.13, no.1, Fall 1991, p5-14 disclose maintaining

interactive relationships with customers by using database and the innumerous advantages of

transactional databases.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Yogesh C Garg whose telephone number is 703-306-0252. The examiner

can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Wynn W Coggins can be reached on 703-308-1344. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular

communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Yogesh C Garg Examiner

Examiner

Art Unit 3625

YCG July 23, 2002

WYNN W. COGGINS

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600